arXiv:1603.03307v2 [math-ph] 10 Dec 2016

NORDITA-2016-19
UUITP-02/16

Level Crossing in Random Matrices: I. Random perturbation of a fixed matrix

B. Shapiro[1] and K. Zarembo[2,3]

[1]*Department of Mathematics, Stockholm University, SE-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden*
[2]*Nordita, Stockholm University and KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Roslagstullsbacken 23, SE-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden*
[3]*Department of Physics and Astronomy, Uppsala University SE-751 08 Uppsala, Sweden*

shapiro@math.su.se, zarembo@nordita.org

Abstract

We consider level crossing in a matrix family $H = H_0 + \lambda V$ where H_0 is a fixed $N \times N$ matrix and V belongs to one of the standard Gaussian random matrix ensembles. We study the probability distribution of level crossing points in the complex plane of λ, for which we obtain a number of exact, asymptotic and approximate formulas.

1 Introduction

Analysis of the dependence of the spectrum on a perturbative parameter λ in linear families

$$H = H_0 + \lambda V, \tag{1.1}$$

is a typical problem both in physics and mathematics, see e.g. the treatise [8]. Here H_0 is an initial linear operator, V is a perturbing linear operator, and λ is a real/complex-valued parameter.

In many concrete situations H_0 and V are self-adjoint and λ is real, which typically leads to the conclusion that, for all real values of λ, the spectrum is real and simple. Without special symmetry reasons the eigenvalues of a Hermitian matrix do not cross, as a consequence of the famous von Neumann-Wigner eigenvalue repulsion [12]. The spectrum of H for real λ is consequently classified by the energy levels of the original, unperturbed Hamiltonian H_0.

Since the late 60's, motivated by a number of fascinating observations by C. M. Bender and T. T. Wu [4], physicists and mathematicians started considering various cases where H_0 and V are, for example, self-adjoint while λ is complex-valued. The level crossings occur upon analytic continuation of λ into the complex plane, where an intricate pattern of level permutations occurs due to monodromy at each of the branch points. The positions and monodromy of the level crossings constitute an important piece of information about the spectral data for the linear family (1.1) and its analytic structure. They determine, for instance, the accuracy of perturbative series in λ.

One of the basic questions in such a study posed by C. M. Bender and T. T. Wu is whether it is possible to interchange two arbitrary chosen real eigenvalues E_i and E_j of (1.1) corresponding to some fixed real value λ_0, by allowing λ to move in the complex plane along some closed loop which starts and ends at λ_0. The latter question can be rephrased as the connectivity of the corresponding spectral surface S_H, where the $S_H \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ is the set of all pairs $(E, \lambda) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, E being an eigenvalue of H with a given value of parameter λ. In several interesting situations it is proven that S_H is a complex-analytic curve in $\mathbb{C}^2$ given as the zero locus of an appropriate entire function in two variables called the *spectral determinant*. Important results in this direction were recently obtained in e.g. [7] and [1]. (For families of finite-dimensional matrices, S_H is an algebraic curve given by the spectral equation (1.2) below.)

For large classes of linear families (1.1) of infinite-dimensional linear operators, there exists a discrete level crossing set $\mathcal{B}_H \subset \mathbb{C}$ consisting of all values of λ for which the spectrum of (1.1) is not simple. (In physics literature such points are often referred to as "exceptional points"; the latter term was coined by T.Kato in [8].) In particular, for generic families (1.1) of $n \times n$-matrices, the level crossing set $\mathcal{B}_H \subset \mathbb{C}$ consists of $n(n-1)$ distinct exceptional points.

For many concrete families of linear differential or matrix operators, it is highly desirable to get information about their level crossing sets $\mathcal{B}_H$ as well as about the monodromy of the eigenvalues, when the parameter λ traverses different closed loops in $\mathbb{C} \smallsetminus \mathcal{B}_H$. Unfortunately the latter problem (especially its monodromy part) seems, in general, to be quite hard, see examples in e.g. [16], [15].

Notice that for matrix families with Hermitian H_0 and V, studies of the corresponding spectral surfaces and their branch (=exceptional) points are related to the famous Lax conjecture, see [10] and determinantal representations of polynomials, see e.g. [14]. It turns out that one can explicitly characterise the class of real spectral determinants = real algebraic curves given by the equation

$$\chi(\lambda, E) := \det(H_0 + \lambda V + EI) = \det(H + EI) = 0, \tag{1.2}$$

with arbitrary Hermitian H_0 and V of some size n. For complex-valued square matrices H_0 and V of a given size n, it was already shown by A. C. Dixon, [6] in 1902 that any plane complex algebraic curve of degree n can be represented by (1.2). He also found how many different determinantal representations there exist for a generic plane curve of degree n.

Observe also that level crossing sets $\mathcal{B}_H \subset \mathbb{C}$ which can appear as the sets of branch points of complex plane curves of degree n (or, equivalently, of representations (1.1)) contain $n(n-1)$ points but depend only on $\binom{n+2}{2} - 4 = \frac{n^2+3n-6}{2}$ parameters. This means that, starting with $n = 4$, there exist (quite complicated) relations among the branch points, see [13]. In the first nontrivial case $n = 4$ there exists one relation on the 12 points in the level crossing set, i.e., these configurations of 12 points form a hypersurface in $\mathbb{CP}^{12}$ which was considered in [19]. In particular, in [19] it was shown that the degree of this hypersurface equals 3762.

Energy level repulsion is ubiquitous in quantum mechanics [18]. The level crossing, when it happens at real values of the coupling constants, is

a powerful diagnostic of hidden symmetries [20]. Level crossings away from the real line, which always occur, signal, in many cases, the change of regime or near-resonance behavior (e.g. [5]).

In the present paper, instead of looking at concrete families (1.1), we will utilize the point of view of random matrix theory. Namely, we will study spectra and level crossings in (1.1), assuming that H_0 is a given fixed matrix, while V is a random matrix with known distribution. This can be regarded as a crude model for a quantum-mechanical system subject to a random perturbation.

Since in our approach the matrices at hand are random, it is appropriate to talk about statistics of level crossings, and at least two important questions can be posed in this setup. The first one is the distribution of level crossings in the complex plane of λ. To the best of our knowledge, for the first time this question was addressed in [21] in the context of quantum chaos for nuclear spectra, similar problem was addressed in [3] in conjunction of topologically protected Andreev level crossings in Josephson junctions. The second, and a more difficult question is how to describe statistical properties of the spectral monodromy. We leave aside the study of monodromy for a future work and concentrate on the statistics of level crossing in this paper.

2 Level Crossing in random environment

We assume that V and H_0 are $N \times N$ matrices, H_0 is fixed and V is randomly chosen from one of the standard random matrix ensembles [11, 2]. More concretely, we discuss below the four cases of Gaussian unitary, Gaussian orthogonal, real and complex Gaussian ensembles. (The remaining classical case of Gaussian symplectic ensemble exhibits Kramers degeneracy of spectrum and does not seem to be suitable for our study both from the theoretical and numerical perspectives.)[1]

Without loss of generality H_0 can be taken diagonal:

$$H_0 = \operatorname{diag}(E_1, \dots, E_N). \tag{2.1}$$

We additionally assume that $E_1, \dots, E_N$ are pairwise distinct. For any given perturbation $V \neq 0$, the eigenvalues of the matrix (1.1) collide pairwise at $N(N-1)$ generically distinct complex values of the coupling parameter λ.

[1] The case when H_0 is also random will be considered in the sequel [17].

The probability distribution of the matrix V induces a statistical distribution of the level-crossing points in the complex plane of λ. When V belongs to the Gaussian Orthogonal Ensemble (GOE), this distribution was calculated analytically for $N = 2$ and was studied numerically for larger N in [21].

In the general case of $N \times N$ matrices, the level-crossing condition is equivalent to the vanishing of the discriminant of the characteristic equation of the matrix H in (1.1) which gives a polynomial equation of degree $N(N-1)$ in the complex variable λ. For $N = 2$ this equation is quadratic and the PDF (probability density function) of level crossings for 2×2 matrices can be calculated in the closed form. The discriminantal equation becomes increasingly complicated with growing N, and already for $N = 3$ the formulas are so cumbersome that we will not present them here. Instead we will use the explicit solution for the 2×2 case to obtain both asymptotic and approximate results for matrices of arbitrary size.

The heuristics behind this approach is that near a level-crossing point, the problem always reduces to the two-level interaction. Our arguments are similar in spirit to the textbook derivation of level repulsion from the 2×2 secular perturbation theory near a would-be crossing point [9].

More concretely, we calculate the exact asymptotics of the level-crossing PDF at weak coupling (i.e., for small $|\lambda|$) for any N. Additionally, we propose an approximation for the level-crossing PDF under a heuristic assumption that collisions of different pairs of eigenvalues are statistically independent events. Quite surprisingly, this simple-minded approximation is extremely accurate and agrees very well with the actual level-crossing PDF which we confirm by extensive numerical simulations.

3 Gaussian Unitary Ensemble

The case that we study most thoroughly is the one of the Gaussian Unitary Ensemble, GUE_N. Then V is a random $N \times N$ Hermitian matrix whose entries have Gaussian statistical distribution. The probability measure is given by

$$d\mathcal{P}(V) = \left(2\pi\sigma^2\right)^{-\frac{N^2}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\,\mathrm{tr}\,V^2} \prod_{1\le i\le j\le N} dV_{ij}, \tag{3.1}$$

where σ^2 is the variance.

3.1 2×2 case

We start with the simplest case of 2×2 matrices. Then $H_0 = \operatorname{diag}(E_1, E_2)$ and $V \in GUE_2$. The crossing probability depends only on the difference

$$\Delta = E_2 - E_1. \tag{3.2}$$

It is convenient to expand all matrices at hand in the basis $\{\mathbb{1}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}\}$, where $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$ is the usual triple of Pauli matrices:

$$H = h_{\mathbb{1}}\mathbb{1} + \mathbf{h} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \tag{3.3}$$

where $h_{\mathbb{1}} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{C}^3$. Observe that

$$\det H = h_{\mathbb{1}}^2 - \mathbf{h}^2,$$

where $\mathbf{w}^2$ stands for the sum of squares of components of vector $\mathbf{w}$. Therefore the characteristic equation for the matrix H reads

$$(h_{\mathbb{1}} - E)^2 = \mathbf{h}^2, \tag{3.4}$$

and the condition for level crossing (i.e., the coincidence of the two eigenvalues of H) is simply

$$\mathbf{h}^2 = 0. \tag{3.5}$$

Now expanding H_0 and V from (1.1) in the Pauli matrices:

$$H_0 = \varepsilon_{\mathbb{1}}\mathbb{1} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \qquad V = v_{\mathbb{1}}\mathbb{1} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \tag{3.6}$$

we see that the level crossing happens when λ satisfies the quadratic equation

$$\lambda^2 \mathbf{v}^2 + 2\lambda \mathbf{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^2 = 0. \tag{3.7}$$

The vectors $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ have real components since H_0 and V are Hermitian matrices. One can notice that $\varepsilon := |\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}| = (E_2 - E_1)/2 = \Delta/2$. Denoting the angle between $\mathbf{v}$ and $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ by θ and using $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \varepsilon v \cos\theta$, where $v := |\mathbf{v}|$, we can explicitly solve equation (3.7):

$$\lambda = -\frac{\Delta}{2v}\, \mathrm{e}^{\,i\theta}, \qquad \bar{\lambda} = -\frac{\Delta}{2v}\, \mathrm{e}^{\,-i\theta}. \tag{3.8}$$

The level-crossing condition thus explicitly expresses λ in terms of the fixed eigenvalue difference Δ of H_0 and the random variables v and θ. The



Figure 1: The level-crossing probability density (for $\sigma = 1$).

problem reduces to calculating the probability distributions for v and θ using the known PDF for the matrix elements of V. Integrating out $v_{\mathbb{1}}$ and expressing the probability measure in the coordinates $v = |\mathbf{v}|$ and θ, we get:

$$d\mathcal{P}(v,\theta) = \frac{2v^2 \sin\theta}{\sqrt{\pi}\,\sigma^3}\ \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{v^2}{\sigma^2}} dv d\theta. \tag{3.9}$$

The level-crossing PDF is now given by the Jacobian of the transformation from the variables (v,θ) to the position of the level crossing $(\lambda,\bar{\lambda})$ in the complex plane. Using (3.8) we obtain:

$$d\mathcal{P}_{U_2}(\lambda,\bar{\lambda}) = \frac{\Delta^3}{16\sqrt{\pi}\,\sigma^3}\ \frac{|\mathrm{Im}\,\lambda|}{|\lambda|^6}\ \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\Delta^2}{4\sigma^2|\lambda|^2}} d\lambda d\bar{\lambda}. \tag{3.10}$$

An extra factor of $1/2$ arises because there are two level-crossing points for any realization of the random matrix V, and we normalize the probability to one.

The level-crossing probability density is shown in fig. 1. The density vanishes on the real line, when $\mathrm{Im}\,\lambda = 0$, as a manifestation of the level repulsion for Hermitian matrices.

Equation (3.10) takes a more elegant form in the variables

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} = p + iq. \tag{3.11}$$

In particular, the cumulative probability to find the level-crossing point at $q^2 > x$ obeys the standard Poisson distribution:

$$\mathcal{P}_{U_2}(q^2 > x) = \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\Delta^2 x}{4\sigma^2}}. \tag{3.12}$$

3.2 Weak-coupling asymptotics

We will not be able to calculate exactly the level-crossing PDF for matrices of a larger size, but we will find some asymptotic and approximate results which are valid for any N. Before we proceed, it is instructive to take another look at the formulas for $N = 2$. When $|\lambda|$ is small, the probability of level crossing (3.10) is exponentially small, and it is easy to understand why. A perturbation of strength $\lambda V \sim \Delta$ is necessary to close the gap of size Δ. Since $|\lambda|$ is small, such a perturbation occurs with an exponentially small probability $\mathcal{P} \sim \exp(-V^2/\sigma^2) \sim \exp(-\Delta^2/\sigma^2|\lambda|^2)$. Clearly, the same heuristics applies to matrices of arbitrary size, and obviously the easiest gap to close is the smallest gap in the spectrum of H_0 (assumed unique). The weak-coupling asymptotics of the level-crossing probability therefore is determined by the two closest eigenvalues of H_0. As before, let us denote the smallest gap in the spectrum of H_0 by Δ, and assume without the loss of generality that Δ occurs between E_1 and E_2.

The matrix H then has the form:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\Delta}{2}\,\sigma_3 + \lambda v_{\mathbb{1}}\,\mathbb{1} + \lambda \mathbf{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma} & \lambda F \\ \lambda F^\dagger & A + \lambda B \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.13}$$

Here $A = \mathrm{diag}(\tilde{E}_3, \ldots, \tilde{E}_N)$ with[2]

$$\tilde{E}_k = E_k - \frac{E_1 + E_2}{2}\,, \tag{3.14}$$

[2]The shift by $\frac{E_1+E_2}{2}$ is necessary to place the two closets levels symmetrically with respect to zero. The level-crossing PDF depends only on the relative distances between the eigenvalues of H_0 and is invariant under the shift of the whole spectrum by a common constant.

B is a random $(N-2)\times(N-2)$ Hermitian matrix, F is a random $2\times(N-2)$ complex matrix with independent Gaussian entries, and, finally, $(v_{\mathbb{1}}, \mathbf{v})$ is a random vector, the same as in the above discussion of the 2×2 case.

In the zeroth-order approximation we can neglect both λF and λB terms. On the contrary we cannot assume that $\lambda\mathbf{v}$ is small. The fluctuation in $\mathbf{v}$ must be large, $O(1/\lambda)$, in order to close the gap Δ. Of course such large fluctuation occurs with an exponentially small probability. In this approximation the 2×2 subsystem of the first two levels decouples, yielding the level-crossing PDF which is exactly the same as in the 2×2 case. Let us compute the next order in λ. As we shall see this affects the overall normalization factor.

It is clear from (3.5) that the two eigenvalues of the 2×2 block we are concentrating upon cross at zero. In order to take into account the feedback from the "spectator" levels, we need to solve the equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\Delta}{2}\,\sigma_3 + \lambda v_{\mathbb{1}}\,\mathbb{1} + \lambda\mathbf{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma} & \lambda F \\ \lambda F^\dagger & A + \lambda B \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\psi\\ \chi\end{pmatrix} = 0. \tag{3.15}$$

Upon excluding χ via the relation:

$$\chi = -\lambda\,(A+\lambda B)^{-1}\,F^\dagger\psi \approx -\lambda A^{-1}F^\dagger\psi, \tag{3.16}$$

we arrive at an effective two-dimensional problem with the 2×2 matrix

$$H_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\Delta}{2}\,\sigma_3 + \lambda v_{\mathbb{1}}\,\mathbb{1} + \lambda\mathbf{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma} - \lambda^2 F A^{-1}F^\dagger. \tag{3.17}$$

The last term is the next-order correction we were looking for.

Consider now a complex vector in $\mathbb{C}^3$ given by:

$$\mathbf{a} + i\mathbf{b} \coloneqq \frac{\Delta}{2\lambda}\mathbf{e}_3 - \frac{\lambda}{2}\,\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}FA^{-1}F^\dagger), \tag{3.18}$$

where $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ are real vectors and $\mathbf{e}_3 = (0,0,1)^t$. The last expression $\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}FA^{-1}F^\dagger)$ appearing here should be understood as a vector in $\mathbb{C}^3$ obtained by multiplication of the triple of Pauli matrices $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\sigma_3)$ by the 2×2 matrix $FA^{-1}F^\dagger$ and taking trace of the product.

Since $H_{\text{eff}} = \lambda(\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{a}+i\mathbf{b})\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma} + \lambda v_{\mathbb{1}}\mathbb{1}$, the conditions that H_{eff} has coinciding eigenvalues is given by:

$$(\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{a}+i\mathbf{b})^2 = 0, \tag{3.19}$$

or, equivalently, by:

$$\begin{cases} (\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{a})^2 = \mathbf{b}^2 \\ (\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{a})\cdot\mathbf{b} = 0. \end{cases}$$

The solutions to these equations form a circle:

$$\mathbf{v} = b\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{a}, \tag{3.20}$$

where $b := |\mathbf{b}|$ and $\mathbf{n}$ is a unit vector perpendicular to $\mathbf{b}$, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{n} = 0, \qquad \mathbf{n}^2 = 1. \tag{3.21}$$

The level-crossing probability is the length of this circle, measured with respect to the probability density

$$d\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{v^2}{\sigma^2}}\, d^3\mathbf{v}}{\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}\sigma^3} \tag{3.22}$$

and averaged over the $2\times(N-2)$ random matrix F which has independent complex Gaussian entries.

Substituting $\lambda = x+iy$ and using the standard properties of the trace, the two vectors $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ become:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{a} = \frac{\Delta x}{2|\lambda|^2}\,\mathbf{e}_3 - \frac{x}{2}\,\mathrm{tr}(A^{-1}F^\dagger\boldsymbol{\sigma}F), \\ \mathbf{b} = -\frac{\Delta y}{2|\lambda|^2}\,\mathbf{e}_3 - \frac{y}{2}\,\mathrm{tr}(A^{-1}F^\dagger\boldsymbol{\sigma}F). \end{cases} \tag{3.23}$$

The first terms in the right-hand side of (3.23) are of order $O(1/\lambda)$, while the second ones are of order $O(\lambda)$ and can be neglected to the first approximation. The real vectors $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ are then collinear, and (3.20) is a circle of radius b in the lateral plane shifted by distance $a := |\mathbf{a}|$ in the $\mathbf{e}_3$ direction. The probability measure (3.22) is constant on this circle, and the level-crossing PDF is obtained by changing variables $d^3\mathbf{v} \to J\, dl d\lambda d\bar{\lambda}$, where dl is the line element on the circle and $J \propto |\lambda|^{-4}$ is the Jacobian. The integration over dl gives the length of the circle, proportional to $|y|/|\lambda|^2$, which altogether results in the equation (3.10) derived above.

When we take into account the correction term, the circle gets slightly tilted. The correction to the prefactor in (3.10) will be small with perturbation, and can be safely neglected, while the correction to the exponent is

of order one, and has to be taken into account. The variation δv^2 up to the linear order in $\delta\mathbf{a}$ and $\delta\mathbf{b}$ is given by:

$$\delta v^2 = 2\mathbf{a}\cdot\delta\mathbf{a} + 2\mathbf{b}\cdot\delta\mathbf{b} - 2b\,\delta\mathbf{a}\cdot\mathbf{n} - 2b\,\mathbf{a}\cdot\delta\mathbf{n}, \tag{3.24}$$

where by $\delta\mathbf{a}$ and $\delta\mathbf{b}$ we denote the second terms in (3.23). Observe that we do not need to include the variation of b, because $\mathbf{a}\cdot\mathbf{n} = 0$ to the leading order. Linearizing condition (3.21), we find:

$$\delta\mathbf{n} = -\left(\delta\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{n}\right)\frac{\mathbf{b}}{b^2}\,, \tag{3.25}$$

and substituting the explicit expressions for $\delta\mathbf{a}$ and $\delta\mathbf{b}$ from (3.23) into the above variation of v^2, we obtain:

$$\delta v^2 = \frac{\Delta}{2|\lambda|^2}\,\mathrm{tr}\left\{A^{-1}F^\dagger\left[\left(y^2-x^2\right)\sigma_3 + 2x|y|\mathbf{n}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}\right]F\right\}. \tag{3.26}$$

This formula corrects the exponent in (3.22). Level-crossing probability (3.10) then, with the first correction in $1/\lambda^2$ taken into account, becomes

$$\frac{d\mathcal{P}_{U_N}(\lambda,\bar\lambda)}{d\lambda d\bar\lambda} \simeq \frac{\Delta^3}{8N(N-1)\sqrt{\pi}\,\sigma^3}\,\frac{|\mathrm{Im}\,\lambda|}{|\lambda|^6}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\Delta^2}{4\sigma^2|\lambda|^2}}\left\langle \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\,\mathrm{tr}(A^{-1}F^\dagger MF)}\right\rangle_F, \tag{3.27}$$

where M is a shorthand notation for the 2×2 matrix

$$M := \frac{\Delta}{x^2+y^2}\left[\left(y^2-x^2\right)\sigma_3 + 2x|y|\mathbf{n}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}\right]. \tag{3.28}$$

The statistical average over F is Gaussian with variance σ^2. The combinatorial factor $N(N-1)$ takes into account that we are concentrating on just one of the $N(N-1)$ level-crossing points.

The well-known formula for the Gaussian average of an exponential function with a quadratic exponent gives:

$$\left\langle \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma}\,\mathrm{tr}(A^{-1}F^\dagger MF)}\right\rangle_F = \det{}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{1}\otimes\mathbb{1} + \frac{1}{2}\,A^{-1}\otimes M\right) = \prod_{k=3}^{N}\prod_{j=1,2}\left(1+\frac{m_j}{2\tilde E_k}\right)^{-1}, \tag{3.29}$$

where m_j are the eigenvalues of M and $\tilde E_k$ are the eigenvalues of A given by (3.14). Now, because $\mathrm{tr}\,M = 0$ and $\det M = \Delta^2$ (which is easy to show using



(a) (b)

Figure 2: The cumulative level-crossing probability: (a) for 2×2 matrices with $H_0 = \mathrm{diag}(1, -1)$, corresponding to $\Delta = 2$, and $\sigma = 1$; (b) for 3×3 matrices with $H_0 = \mathrm{diag}(1, -1, 5)$ and $\sigma = 1$. The solid line is the asymptotic formula (3.32). The dots represent numerical results.

the fact that $\mathbf{n}$ is perpendicular to $\mathbf{e}_3$), the eigenvalues of M are $m_j = \pm\Delta$, and

$$\left\langle \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\,\mathrm{tr}(A^{-1}F^\dagger MF)} \right\rangle_F = \prod_{k=3}^{N} \left(1 - \frac{\Delta^2}{4\tilde{E}_k^2}\right)^{-1}, \tag{3.30}$$

which gives for the weak-coupling asymptotics of the level-crossing PDF:

$$\frac{d\mathcal{P}_{U_N}(\lambda, \bar{\lambda})}{d\lambda d\bar{\lambda}} \simeq \frac{\Delta^3}{8N(N-1)\sqrt{\pi}\,\sigma^3} \frac{|\mathrm{Im}\,\lambda|}{|\lambda|^6} \prod_{k=3}^{N} \left(1 - \frac{\Delta^2}{4\tilde{E}_k^2}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\Delta^2}{4\sigma^2|\lambda|^2}}. \tag{3.31}$$

This expression is asymptotically exact in the $\lambda \to 0$ limit. As detailed above, Δ is the distance between the closest pair of eigenvalues of the unperturbed matrix H_0, in the product these two eigenvalues are omitted, and $\tilde{E}_k$'s are defined in (3.14).

The asymptotic distribution in the variable q^2, introduced in (3.11), is again given by the Poisson law but with a "wrong" normalization constant:

$$\mathcal{P}_{U_N}(q^2 > x) \simeq \frac{2}{N(N-1)} \prod_{k=3}^{N} \left(1 - \frac{\Delta^2}{4\tilde{E}_k^2}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\Delta^2 x}{4\sigma^2}}. \tag{3.32}$$

Observe that the right-hand side of the latter formula does not converge to one at $x = 0$. This formula is exact for 2×2 matrices when it coincides with



Figure 3: The cumulative level-crossing probability normalized to the Poisson distribution. The values of parameters are the same as in fig. 2(b). The horizontal lines are minus the logarithm of the normalization factor in the asymptotic formula (3.32), with (the solid line) or without (the dashed line) the correction factor (3.30). Even though the difference is very small, the numerical data clearly shows that the corrections from other eigenvalues are necessary to get the right asymptotics of the probability.

(3.12). In general, it describes the asymptotical behavior of PDF for large x, and deviates from the exact result when x is small. This is clearly visible in fig. 2, where the asymptotic formula (3.32) is compared to numerical data. For the 2×2 matrices, fig. 2(a), the data perfectly agrees with the Poisson distribution in the whole range of the variable q^2. In the 3×3 case, fig. 2(b), the data quickly approaches the asymptotic regime predicted by (3.32), but at small q^2 the deviations from the Poisson distribution are clearly visible.

The correction factor due to spectator eigenvalues (3.30) is actually very close to one. Indeed, each $|\tilde{E}_k|$ must be at least as big as $3\Delta/2$. Otherwise the distance between E_k and E_1 or E_2 would be smaller than Δ while we have assumed that Δ is the smallest gap in the spectrum. Consequently the correction factor associated with each particular eigenvalue lies between 1 and 9/8. The contribution of eigenvalues further away is even smaller. For instance, for parameters in fig. 2(b), the correction factor is 25/24. Yet, we were able to check numerically that the correction factor is necessary to reproduce the correct asymptotics of the numerical data, as shown in fig. 3.

3.3 Independent collisions approximation

Calculating the level-crossing PDF exactly is a complicated problem. It is difficult to come up with a closed expression already for 3×3 matrices. Nevertheless, we have found a heuristic approximate formula which describes numerical data remarkably well in the full range of parameters.

The idea is very simple. A collision of more than two eigenvalues happens with zero probability. Moreover, secular perturbation theory effectively reduces level crossing to a 2×2 problem [12, 9]. The additional key assumption we make here is that collisions of different pairs of eigenvalues are statistically independent events. Such assumption is clearly only an approximation, not really justfied by any small parameter, but it turns out to work surprisingly well.

The total level-crossing PDF is then the sum over all pairs of eigenvalues of the partial probabilities of pairwise collisions, where each partial probability is given by eq. (3.10). We shall call this procedure the *Independent Collisions Approximation* (ICA). It results in a heuristic formula for matrices of any size:

$$\frac{d\mathcal{P}_{U_N}(\lambda,\bar{\lambda})}{d\lambda d\bar{\lambda}} \approx \frac{1}{8N(N-1)\sqrt{\pi}\,\sigma^3}\,\frac{|\mathrm{Im}\,\lambda|}{|\lambda|^6}\sum_{1\le i<j\le N}|E_i-E_j|^3\,\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\left(E_i-E_j\right)^2}{4\sigma^2|\lambda|^2}}. \qquad (3.33)$$

Analogously to (3.12), the cumulative distribution in the variable q^2 defined in (3.11) is given by the sum of the independent Poisson distributions for each pair of eigenvalues:

$$\mathcal{P}_{U_N}(q^2>x) \approx \frac{2}{N(N-1)}\sum_{1\le i<j\le N}\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\left(E_i-E_j\right)^2 x}{4\sigma^2}}. \qquad (3.34)$$

The formula (3.34) is exact for $N = 2$, while for general N it is only justified heuristically. Reduction to the 2×2 problem is expected to give a good approximation for small $|\lambda|$, as discussed in § 3.2. At the moment, we do not know of any mathematically consistent derivation of these results for arbitrary λ and N. Nevertheless, they agree with numerics reasonably well in the whole range of λ, at the percent level of accuracy. The comparison to numerics for 3×3 and 4×4 matrices is shown in fig. 8.

An interesting question is how the accuracy of ICA scales with the matrix size. We have not attempted to investigate this question in full detail, but instead studied it numerically in one representative case. The results of this



(a) (b)

Figure 4: The cumulative level-crossing probability compared to the approximate ICA formula (3.34): (a) for 3×3 matrices with $H_0 = \mathrm{diag}(1, -1, 4)$; (b) for 4×4 matrices with $H_0 = \mathrm{diag}(1, -1, 3.2, 5)$. In both cases $\sigma = 1$. The dashed line is the asymptotic prediction (3.32).

preliminary study are displayed in fig. 5 and fig. 6. We compared ICA to numerical data for the matrix sequence of the form $H_0 = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_{N-1})$, where $\lambda_k = k + 0.2k^2$, up to the matrix size $N = 12$. The data shows overall good agreement with ICA: there is no much of a difference between fig. 5(a), showing data for $N = 4$, and fig. 5(b), where the data for $N = 12$ are displayed. To quantify this, in fig. 6 we plot χ^2 per point for the logarithm of the cumulative probability $\ln P(q^2 > x)$ for matrices of different size. The χ^2 shows a moderate growth with N at small N, but stabilizes for $N > 8$.

4 Gaussian Orthogonal Ensemble

Next we consider the Gaussian Orthogonal Ensemble GOE_N of random real symmetric matrices:

$$d\mathcal{P}(V) = 2^{-\frac{N}{2}} \left(2\pi\sigma^2\right)^{-\frac{N(N+1)}{4}} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{4\sigma^2}\,\mathrm{tr}\,V^2} \prod_{1 \le i \le j \le N} dV_{ij}, \tag{4.1}$$

The PDF for 2×2 matrices was found in the pioneering paper [21] and is given by:

$$d\mathcal{P}_{O_2}(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}) = \frac{\Delta^2}{16\pi\sigma^2} \frac{1}{|\lambda|^4} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\Delta^2}{8\sigma^2|\lambda|^2}} d\lambda d\bar{\lambda}. \tag{4.2}$$



(a) (b)

Figure 5: The accuracy of ICA as a function of matrix size: (a) for 4×4 matrices; (b) for 12×12 matrices. The matrices are of the form $H_0 = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_{N-1})$ with $\lambda_k = k + 0.2k^2$, and $\sigma = 1$.



Figure 6: The χ^2 per point of the ICA for cumulative level-crossing probability as a function of matrix size.

Interestingly, PDF is rotation-invariant, i.e. depends on $|\lambda|$ but not on the argument of λ. Let us perhaps mention how this important difference to GUE_2 comes about. The level-crossing condition is the same equation (3.19), with $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ given by the first terms in eq. (3.23). However, symmetric traceless 2×2 matrices expand in the two-dimensional basis $\{\sigma_1, \sigma_3\}$ and all the vectors involved belong to $\mathbb{R}^2$. The solution (3.20), (3.21) is a set of two points, and the prefactor in the level-crossing PDF is just the Jacobian of transformation from $\mathbf{v}$ to $\lambda, \bar{\lambda}$, proportional to $1/|\lambda|^4$.

In the variables (p, q) from (3.11), we get:

$$d\mathcal{P}_{O_2}(p,q) = \frac{\Delta^2}{8\pi\sigma^2}\, \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\Delta^2(p^2+q^2)}{8\sigma^2}}\, dpdq. \tag{4.3}$$



Figure 7: Cumulative radial probability normalized to the Poisson distribution for GOE with $H_0 = \mathrm{diag}(1,-1,5)$ and $\sigma = 1/\sqrt{2}$. The blue straight line is the asymptotic prediction (4.6). The dashed line is the would-be asymptotics without the correction factor (4.5).

Now it is the radial distribution that is given by the Poisson density. The cumulative radial probability is

$$\mathcal{P}_{O_2}(p^2+q^2>x) = \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\Delta^2 x}{8\sigma^2}}. \tag{4.4}$$

The small-λ asymptotics of PDF for any N is governed by the smallest gap in the spectrum. The derivation is the same as in sec. 3.2, except that now the rectangular matrix F is real and

$$\left\langle \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\,\mathrm{tr}(A^{-1}F^tMF)} \right\rangle_F = \prod_{k=3}^{N} \left(1-\frac{\Delta^2}{4\tilde{E}_k^2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{4.5}$$

The result is

$$d\mathcal{P}_{O_N}(\lambda,\bar{\lambda}) \simeq \frac{\Delta^2}{8\pi N(N-1)\sigma^2}\,\frac{1}{|\lambda|^4}\prod_{k=3}^{N}\left(1-\frac{\Delta^2}{4\tilde{E}_k^2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\Delta^2}{8\sigma^2|\lambda|^2}}\,d\lambda d\bar{\lambda}. \tag{4.6}$$

We confirmed numerically that the asymptotic PDF has correct normalization. In fig. 7 the cumulative radial probability normalized to the Poisson distribution is plotted against the asymptotic prediction.



(a) (b)

Figure 8: The cumulative radial probability for GOE compared to ICA: (a) for 3×3 matrices and the same parameters as in fig. 7: $H_0 = \mathrm{diag}(1,-1,5)$, $\sigma = 1/\sqrt{2}$; (b) for 4×4 matrices with $H_0 = \mathrm{diag}(1,-1,5,-4)$ and $\sigma = 1/\sqrt{2}$. The dashed line is the Poisson asymptotics.

The whole PDF, at any N and for any λ, can be described, with reasonable accuracy, by ICA discussed in sec. 3.3. The PDF in this approximation is given by an additive combination of the partial two-level probabilities:

$$d\mathcal{P}_{O_N}(\lambda,\bar{\lambda}) \simeq \frac{1}{8\pi N(N-1)\sigma^2}\,\frac{1}{|\lambda|^4}\sum_{1\le i<j\le N}(E_i-E_j)^2\,\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{(E_i-E_j)^2}{8\sigma^2|\lambda|^2}}\,d\lambda d\bar{\lambda}. \quad (4.7)$$

We checked numerically that this is indeed a good approximation. In fig. **??** the cumulative radial probability constructed from ICA is compared to numerical data for 3×3 matrices.

Explicit calculation shows that the level-crossing probability for 2×2 matrices from GOE depends only on the absolute value of λ. ICA inherits this property, but this is only an approximation. An interesting question is whether the exact level-crossing PDF for $N>2$ is rotationally invariant. We have tested the rotational symmetry of the PDF by numerically calculating the probability of the level crossing to lie in the sector $\phi < \mathrm{Arg}\,\lambda < \phi+\alpha$. For a rotationally symmetric PDF this probaility does not depend on ϕ:

$$\mathcal{P}\left(\phi<\mathrm{Arg}\,\lambda<\phi+\alpha\right) \overset{\text{rot.symm.}}{\Longrightarrow} \frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\,. \quad (4.8)$$

The numerical results for 3×3 matrices, shown in fig. 9 perfectly agree with this assumption. This agreement cannot be attributed to the accidental



Figure 9: The angular dependence of the probability distributions for 3×3 matrices. The parameters are the same as in fig. 7.

accuracy of ICA, which is rotationally symmetric by construction. Figs. **??** and 9 represent the same data, and while the deviations from ICA in fig. **??** are small they are clearly visible. At the same time the angular probability in fig. 9 is perfectly flat, with deviations smaller than errorbars.

We are led to conclusion, which we put forward as a conjecture, that the distribution of level-crossing points in GOE_N is invariant under rotations

$$\lambda \to \mathrm{e}^{i\varphi}\lambda. \tag{4.9}$$

For $N = 2$ this follows from the explicit calculation, but so far we could not find any appropriate symmetry which might explain this phenomenon.

5 General complex and real matrices

The other two random matrix ensembles that we consider are the general complex Gaussian matrices $GE_N^{\mathbb{C}}$, with the probability density:

$$d\mathcal{P}(V) = \left(8\pi\sigma^2\right)^{-N^2} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{4\sigma^2}\,\mathrm{tr}\,V^\dagger V} \prod_{ij} dV_{ij} dV_{ij}^*, \tag{5.1}$$

and general real Gaussian matrices $GE_N^{\mathbb{R}}$ with the density:

$$d\mathcal{P}(V) = \left(4\pi\sigma^2\right)^{-\frac{N^2}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{4\sigma^2}\,\mathrm{tr}\,V^t V} \prod_{ij} dV_{ij}, \tag{5.2}$$

For these two ensembles we will restrict ourselves to the derivation of the level-crossing PDF for the 2×2 case.

5.1 Complex matrices

The expansion coefficients in the Pauli matrices (3.6) are now complex vectors. The level crossing occurs under the condition that the complex vector $\mathbf{v} + \Delta \mathbf{e}_3/2\lambda$ is null. We can thus write the level-crossing PDF as

$$\begin{aligned} d\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}^2}(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}) &= \frac{\Delta^2}{2} \frac{d\lambda d\bar{\lambda}}{|\lambda|^4} \left\langle \left(v_3 + \frac{\Delta}{2\lambda} \right) \left(\bar{v}_3 + \frac{\Delta}{2\bar{\lambda}} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \delta \left(\left(\mathbf{v} + \frac{\Delta}{2\lambda} \mathbf{e}_3 \right)^2 \right) \delta \left(\left(\bar{\mathbf{v}} + \frac{\Delta}{2\bar{\lambda}} \mathbf{e}_3 \right)^2 \right) \right\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

where $\langle \ldots \rangle$ denotes Gaussian average in $\mathbf{v}, \bar{\mathbf{v}}$. Shifting the integration variables $\mathbf{v} \to \mathbf{v} - \Delta \mathbf{e}_3/2\lambda$, $\bar{\mathbf{v}} \to \bar{\mathbf{v}} - \Delta \mathbf{e}_3/2\bar{\lambda}$, we get:

$$d\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}^2}(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}) = \frac{\Delta^2}{32\pi^3\sigma^6} \frac{d\lambda d\bar{\lambda}}{|\lambda|^4} \int d^3v \, d^3\bar{v} \, v_3 \bar{v}_3 \delta\left(\mathbf{v}^2\right) \delta\left(\bar{\mathbf{v}}^2\right) \, \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\left(\bar{\mathbf{v}} - \frac{\Delta}{2\bar{\lambda}} \mathbf{e}_3\right)\left(\mathbf{v} - \frac{\Delta}{2\lambda} \mathbf{e}_3\right)}. \tag{5.4}$$

In the parameterization $\mathbf{v} = \mathrm{e}^{-i\phi}(\mathbf{r} + i\mathbf{s})$, where $\mathbf{r}$ and $\mathbf{s}$ are real vectors, and ϕ is the argument of λ, the last expression becomes

$$\begin{aligned} d\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}^2}(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}) &= \frac{\Delta^2}{64\pi^3\sigma^6} \frac{d\lambda d\bar{\lambda}}{|\lambda|^4} \, \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\Delta^2}{8\sigma^2|\lambda|^2}} \int d^3r \, d^3s \, \left(r_3^2 + s_3^2\right) \delta\left(\mathbf{r}^2 - \mathbf{s}^2\right) \delta\left(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{s}\right) \\ &\quad \times \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\mathbf{r}^2 + \mathbf{s}^2}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{\Delta r_3}{2\sigma^2|\lambda|}}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

Let us first integrate over $\mathbf{s}$ using the two constraints in the delta functions. The constraints are solved by $\mathbf{s} = r\mathbf{n}$, where $\mathbf{n}$ is a unit vector perpendicular to $\mathbf{r}$. The solution forms a circle in the plane perpendicular to $\mathbf{r}$, which can be parameterized by the angle φ. In particular, $s_3 = \sqrt{r^2 - r_3^2} \cos\varphi$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} d\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}^2}(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}) &= \frac{\Delta^2}{128\pi^3\sigma^6} \frac{d\lambda d\bar{\lambda}}{|\lambda|^4} \, \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\Delta^2}{8\sigma^2|\lambda|^2}} \int \frac{d^3r}{r} \, \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{r^2}{\sigma^2} + \frac{\Delta r_3}{2\sigma^2|\lambda|}} \\ &\quad \times \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \, \left(r^2 \cos^2\varphi + r_3^2 \sin^2\varphi\right) \\ &= \frac{\Delta^2}{128\pi^2\sigma^6} \frac{d\lambda d\bar{\lambda}}{|\lambda|^4} \, \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\Delta^2}{8\sigma^2|\lambda|^2}} \int \frac{d^3r}{r} \, \left(r^2 + r_3^2\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{r^2}{\sigma^2} + \frac{\Delta r_3}{2\sigma^2|\lambda|}} \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$



Figure 10: The radial probability for complex 2×2 matrices (5.9), with $H_0 = \mathrm{diag}(1, -1)$ and $\sigma = 1/2$. Blue dots are numerical data, shown for comparison.

The remaining integral over $\mathbf{r}$ can be calculated in the spherical coordinates, and finally we obtain:

$$d\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}^2}(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}) = \frac{\Delta^2}{32\pi\sigma^2} \frac{d\lambda d\bar{\lambda}}{|\lambda|^4} \Phi\left(\frac{\Delta^2}{16\sigma^2|\lambda|^2}\right), \tag{5.7}$$

where

$$\Phi(u) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{8u^{\frac{3}{2}}}\left(4u^2+1\right) \mathrm{e}^{-u} \operatorname{erf}\sqrt{u} + \frac{2u-1}{u} \mathrm{e}^{-2u}. \tag{5.8}$$

The level-crossing PDF is rotationally symmetric and depends only on $|\lambda|$, which in this case follows from the invariance of the $GE_N^{\mathbb{C}}$ probability measure under phase transformations: $V \to \mathrm{e}^{-i\phi}V$.

The cumulative radial probability is given by

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}_2}\left(\frac{1}{|\lambda|^2} > \frac{16\sigma^2 x}{\Delta^2}\right) = \int_x^\infty du\, \Phi(u) = \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{x}}\,(2x+1)\,\mathrm{e}^{-x} \operatorname{erf}\sqrt{x} + \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{e}^{-2x}, \tag{5.9}$$

which is plotted in fig. 10.

5.2 Real matrices

The case of general real matrices is qualitatively different from complex or Hermitian matrices considered above, because perturbative V is not Hermintian any more and there is no obstacle for the eigenvalues to cross even if λ is real [3].

As before we expand the random matrix V in the basis of Pauli matrices, but to make the expansion coefficients real we now multiply the imaginary Pauli matrix σ_2 by i:

$$V = v_{\mathbb{1}}\mathbb{1} + v_1\sigma_1 + iv_2\sigma_2 + v_3\sigma_3. \tag{5.10}$$

The coefficients v_i again form a three-dimension Gaussian random vector with variance σ, but the level-crossing condition now changes because of the imaginary i in front of the σ_2. The Euclidean scalar product in (3.5) transforms into the Lorentzian one. In this and the next subsections the dot-product will therefore refer to the Lorentzian quadratic form:

$$\mathbf{a}\cdot\mathbf{b} = a_1b_1 - a_2b_2 + a_3b_3, \qquad \mathbf{a}^2 = \mathbf{a}\cdot\mathbf{a}. \tag{5.11}$$

The level-crossing condition is then

$$\left(\mathbf{v} + \frac{\Delta}{2\lambda}\,\mathbf{e}_3\right)^2 = 0, \tag{5.12}$$

and the level-crossing PDF is given by

$$\begin{aligned} d\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}^2}(\lambda,\bar\lambda) \;=\; & \frac{\Delta^2}{2}\,\frac{d\lambda d\bar\lambda}{|\lambda|^4}\left\langle\left(v_3 + \frac{\Delta}{2\lambda}\right)\left(v_3 + \frac{\Delta}{2\bar\lambda}\right)\right. \\ & \left.\times\,\delta\left(\left(\mathbf{v} + \frac{\Delta}{2\lambda}\,\mathbf{e}_3\right)^2\right)\delta\left(\left(\mathbf{v} + \frac{\Delta}{2\bar\lambda}\,\mathbf{e}_3\right)^2\right)\right\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

The probability measure at the same time depends on the Euclidean norm of $\mathbf{v}$, and the Euclidean scalar product will also show up in the intermediate calculations. The Euclidean product of two vectors $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ will be denoted by $(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})$.

The solution to (5.12) has two branches. One is a curve:

$$v_3 = -\frac{\Delta x}{2|\lambda|^2}\,, \qquad v_1^2 - v_2^2 = \frac{\Delta^2 y^2}{4|\lambda|^4}\,, \tag{5.14}$$

where, as before, $x + iy = \lambda$. The other solution exists only when λ is real and forms a two-dimensional surface

$$y = 0, \qquad v_1^2 - v_2^2 + \left(v_3 + \frac{\Delta}{2\lambda}\right)^2 = 0. \tag{5.15}$$

When V is a general real matrix, H is not Hermitian for real λ and levels no longer repel. And indeed, for each realization of the random matrix V, the two level-crossing points either form a complex conjugate pair, or both lie on the real axis. These two possibilities are realized with equal probability.

The probability density, consequently, has two strata:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}^2}}{d\lambda d\bar{\lambda}} &= \frac{\Delta^3|y|}{16|\lambda|^6}\left\langle\delta\left(v_3+\frac{\Delta x}{2|\lambda|^2}\right)\delta\left(v_1^2-v_2^2-\frac{\Delta^2y^2}{4|\lambda|^4}\right)\right\rangle \\ &\quad+\frac{\Delta}{4\lambda^2}\,\delta(y)\left\langle\left|v_3+\frac{\Delta}{2\lambda}\right|\delta\left(v_2^2-v_1^2-\left(v_3+\frac{\Delta}{2\lambda}\right)^2\right)\right\rangle. \qquad (5.16)\end{aligned}$$

The expectation values here can be computed with the help of the following formula for the Gaussian average over v_1, v_2:

$$\left\langle\delta\left(v_1^2-v_2^2-u^2\right)\right\rangle_{v_1,v_2}=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{u^2}{2\sigma^2}}}{2\pi\sigma^2}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\frac{dv_2}{\sqrt{v_2^2+u^2}}\;\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{v_2^2}{\sigma^2}}=\frac{K_0\left(\frac{u^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)}{2\pi\sigma^2}, \qquad (5.17)$$

where K_ν is the modified Bessel function of the second kind. We thus have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}^2}}{d\lambda d\bar{\lambda}} &= \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty}dv\;\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{v^2}{2\sigma^2}}\left[\frac{\Delta^3|y|}{16|\lambda|^6}\,K_0\left(\frac{\Delta^2y^2}{8\sigma^2|\lambda|^4}\right)\delta\left(v+\frac{\Delta x}{2|\lambda|^2}\right)\right. \\ &\quad\left.+\frac{\Delta}{4\lambda^2}\,\delta(y)\left|v+\frac{\Delta}{2\lambda}\right|K_0\left(\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\left(v+\frac{\Delta}{2\lambda}\right)^2\right)\right]. \qquad (5.18)\end{aligned}$$

Calculating the integral is trivial for the first term and is slightly more involved for the second one. We finally get:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}^2}}{d\lambda d\bar{\lambda}} &= \frac{\Delta^3|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|}{2^{\frac{11}{2}}\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}\sigma^3|\lambda|^6}\;\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\Delta^2(\operatorname{Re}\lambda)^2}{8\sigma^2|\lambda|^4}}K_0\left(\frac{\Delta^2\left(\operatorname{Im}\lambda\right)^2}{8\sigma^2|\lambda|^4}\right) \\ &\quad+\frac{\Delta}{2^{\frac{5}{2}}\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}\sigma\lambda^2}\;\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\Delta^2}{8\sigma^2\lambda^2}}\,{}_2F_2\left(1,1;\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{2};\frac{\Delta^2}{16\sigma^2\lambda^2}\right)\delta\left(\operatorname{Im}\lambda\right), \qquad (5.19)\end{aligned}$$

where ${}_2F_2$ is the hypergeometric function.

The level crossing for general real matrices is similar, in a way, to the case of Hermitian matrices considered before. The probability density is not rotationally invariant, but factorizes in the product of independent probabilities for the real and imaginary parts of $1/\lambda$. The natural variables are again p and q from (3.11).



(a) (b)

Figure 11: The level-crossing probability for real 2×2 matrices (5.20) with $H_0 = \mathrm{diag}(1, -1)$ and $\sigma = 1/\sqrt{2}$: (a) The cumulative distribution in the imaginary part of $1/\lambda$. (b) The differential distribution on the real axis. The thin line in the second plot is the Gaussian with the effective variance (5.22). Blue dots are numerical data. Notice that in both cases the total integrated probability is 1/2.

The level-crossing points appear in the complex plane or on the real axis with equal probability. Consider first the distribution in the complex plane away from the real axis which is best characterized by the cumulative probability in q^2, for which we get:

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left(\infty > q^2 > \frac{8\sigma^2 x}{\Delta^2}\right) = \frac{1 - x\left(\mathbf{L}_{-1}(x)K_0(x) + \mathbf{L}_0(x)K_1(x)\right)}{2}, \tag{5.20}$$

where $\mathbf{L}_\nu(x)$ is the modified Struve function. The result is shown in fig. 11(a), where it is also compared to the numerical data. The total probability asymptotes to 1/2 at large x. The other half of the level crossings happens on the real axis at $q^2 = \infty$.

The probability distribution on the real axis is given by the second term in (5.19). In terms of the variable p from (3.11),

$$\frac{d\mathcal{P}^{\lambda\in\mathbb{R}}_{\mathbb{R}^2}}{dp} = \frac{\Delta}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}\,\sigma}\, \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\Delta^2 p^2}{8\sigma^2}}\, {}_2F_2\left(1, 1; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; \frac{\Delta^2 p^2}{16\sigma^2}\right). \tag{5.21}$$

The probability, displayed in fig. 11(b), is very similar to a Gaussian with the effective variance

$$\sigma^2_{\mathrm{eff}} = \frac{8\sigma^2}{\Delta^2}\,, \tag{5.22}$$

although it is somewhat flatter and more spead-out.

5.3 Real matrices: general case

Once we allow for non-Hermitian perturbations V, it is no longer natural to insist on the diagonal form of the initial matrix H_0. In all the cases considered before (GUE, GOE and $GE_{\mathbb{C}}$) this was not really a restriction. A generic Hermitian, real symmetric or complex matrix can be diagonalized by a unitary, orthogonal or $SL(N,\mathbb{C})$ similarity transformation, respectively. These transformation are symmetries of the probability measures of GUE, GOE and $GE_{\mathbb{C}}$. But for $GE_{\mathbb{R}}$ this is no longer true. Almost any real matrix can be diagonalized by an $SL(N,\mathbb{R})$ transformation $H_0 \to S^{-1}H_0S$, but this transformation is no longer a symmetry of the probability measure of $GE_{\mathbb{R}}$.

In this subsection we relax the condition that H_0 is Hermitian and allow H_0 to be generic but still fixed real matrix. It can then be expanded as[3]

$$H_0 = \varepsilon_1\sigma_1 + i\varepsilon_2\sigma_2 + \varepsilon_3\sigma_3. \tag{5.23}$$

The level-crossing condition becomes

$$\left(\mathbf{v} + \frac{1}{\lambda}\,\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\right)^2 = 0. \tag{5.24}$$

Introducing, as before, the real and imaginary parts of $\lambda = x + iy$, we get two possible solutions that correspond to level crossings in the complex plane and on the real line:

$$\text{Complex}: \qquad \left(\mathbf{v} + \frac{x}{|\lambda|^2}\,\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\right)^2 = \frac{y^2\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^2}{|\lambda|^4}\,, \qquad \left(\mathbf{v} + \frac{x}{|\lambda|^2}\,\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\right)\cdot\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = 0. \tag{5.25}$$

$$\text{Real}: \qquad y = 0, \qquad \left(\mathbf{v} + \frac{1}{\lambda}\,\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\right)^2 = 0. \tag{5.26}$$

The level-crossing probability, upon shifting the integration variable $\mathbf{v} \to \mathbf{v} - x\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}/|\lambda|^2$, becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}^2}}{d\lambda d\bar{\lambda}} \;=\; & \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{x^2(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon},\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})}{2\sigma^2|\lambda|^4}}}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\int d^3v\;\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{v})}{2\sigma^2}+\frac{x(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon},\mathbf{v})}{\sigma^2|\lambda|^2}}\left[\frac{|y|\,(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^2)^2}{2|\lambda|^6}\,\delta\left(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\cdot\mathbf{v}\right)\delta\left(\mathbf{v}^2 - \frac{y^2\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^2}{|\lambda|^4}\right)\right. \\ & \left. + \frac{|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\cdot\mathbf{v}|}{2\lambda^2}\,\delta\left(\mathbf{v}^2\right)\delta\left(y\right)\right].\end{aligned} \tag{5.27}$$

[3]We choose from the outset to deal with traceless matrices. The dependence on $\operatorname{tr} H_0$ drops out from the level-crossing PDF. To restore the full generality in the formulas below, H_0 should be replaced by $H_0 - \mathbb{1}\operatorname{tr} H_0/2$.

The Lorentzian scalar product (5.11) equips the space of three-vectors $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ with the usual causal structure of Special Relativity: time-like vectors for which the $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ invariant $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^2 = \varepsilon_1^2 - \varepsilon_2^2 + \varepsilon_3^2$ is negative lie inside the light-cone $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^2 = 0$, while the exterior of the light-cone is formed by space-like vectors with the positive scalar square. The qualitative structure of level crossings crucially depends on whether the vector $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ is time-like, space-like or null[4].

Complex level crossings are impossible when $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ is time-like. Indeed, any vector orthogonal to a time-like vector must be space-like. Hence, if $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ is time-like, $\mathbf{v} + x\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}/|\lambda|^2$ has a positive scalar square, in virtue of the second equation in (5.25), while the scalar square of $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ is at the same time negative and the first equation thus has no solutions.

5.3.1 Space-like ε

Consider first the case of space-like $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$, $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^2 > 0$. A particular example worked out in sec. 5.2 corresponds to $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \Delta\mathbf{e}_3/2$. We will use the same notation for the scalar square of $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$:

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^2 \equiv \frac{\Delta^2}{4}\,. \tag{5.28}$$

The 2×2 matrix H_0 has a real spectrum for space-like $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ and Δ so defined has the meaning of the gap between its two eigenvalues. Assuming that H_0 is traceless, the eigenvalues are $\pm\Delta/2$ and $\Delta^2 = -4\det H_0$.

It may seem that the level-crossing probability can only depend on the $SL(2)$ invariants. The unique such invariant associated with the matrix H_0 is Δ. But this assumption is not true. While the level-crossing condition (5.24) is expressed in terms of the Minkowski scalar product, and can indeed be brought to the form (5.12) by a Lorentz transformation, the probability measure depends on the Euclidean scalar products and is not Lorentz-invariant. The level-crossing probability therefore will depend on the additional parameters of the matrix H_0 (equivalently, of vector $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$), which are not $SL(2)$ invariants.

To illustrate the point, let us calculate the fraction of real level crossings as a function of $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$:

$$\kappa = \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left(\operatorname{Im}\lambda = 0\right) = \left\langle \frac{\#_{\text{Real Level–Crossings}}}{\#_{\text{Level–Crossings}}} \right\rangle \tag{5.29}$$

[4]The last case is degenerate and will not be considered in what follows.



(a) (b)

Figure 12: The shaded area is the space of solutions for the real level-crossing condition: (a) The cross section by the (12) plane for the case of diagonal H_0. (b) The cross section perpendicular to $\boldsymbol{\eta}_3$ in the general case. κ is the fraction of real level crossings.

For a diagonal H_0, complex and real crossings happen with equal probability, corresponding to $\kappa = 1/2$. This fact has a simple geometric interpretation. For a given λ, the solutions of the real level-crossing condition (5.12) form the light-cone centered at the point $\Delta\mathbf{e}_3/2\lambda$. As λ varies, the solutions fill the space between the two light-sheets

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pm}^{(0)}: \ \alpha\mathbf{e}_3 + \beta\mathbf{n}_{\pm}^{(0)}, \qquad \alpha, \beta \in (-\infty, +\infty), \tag{5.30}$$

where $\mathbf{n}_{\pm}^{(0)}$ are the null vectors perpendicular to $\mathbf{e}_3$:

$$\mathbf{n}_{\pm}^{(0)} = \mathbf{e}_2 \pm \mathbf{e}_1. \tag{5.31}$$

The cross section of this space by the (12) plane is shown in fig. 12(a). The fraction of real level crossings is the volume of the space of solutions with respect to the Gaussian probability measure. Since the measure is rotationally invariant, the fraction of real eigenvalues is simply the relative proportion of the shaded area in the figure, which is exactly 1/2.

To generalize this argument to arbitrary $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$, we can introduce the orthonormal basis $\boldsymbol{\eta}_\mu$, obtained by boosting $\mathbf{e}_\mu$ to the rest frame of $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$, such that

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \frac{\Delta}{2}\boldsymbol{\eta}_3 \tag{5.32}$$



Figure 13: The orthonomal basis in the rest frame of $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$.

and

$$\boldsymbol{\eta}_\mu \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta}_\nu = \mathrm{diag}\,(1,-1,1)\,. \tag{5.33}$$

The second vector, $\boldsymbol{\eta}_2$, is obtained by a Lorentz transformation from $\mathbf{e}_2$ and $\mathbf{e}_s$, where $\mathbf{e}_s$ is the unit vector along the intersection of the (13) and $(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \mathbf{e}_2)$ planes (fig. 13):

$$\mathbf{e}_2 = \boldsymbol{\eta}_2 \cosh\theta - \boldsymbol{\eta}_3 \sinh\theta \tag{5.34}$$

$$\mathbf{e}_s = \boldsymbol{\eta}_3 \cosh\theta - \boldsymbol{\eta}_2 \sinh\theta\,. \tag{5.35}$$

The first equation defines $\boldsymbol{\eta}_2$ in terms of $\boldsymbol{\eta}_3$ and $\mathbf{e}_2$. The second one can be taken as a definition of $\mathbf{e}_s$. Finally, one can take $\boldsymbol{\eta}_1 = \mathbf{e}_2 \times \mathbf{e}_s$.

The rapidity θ can be found from (5.34):

$$\sinh\theta = -\boldsymbol{\eta}_3 \cdot \mathbf{e}_2 = \frac{2\varepsilon_2}{\Delta} = \frac{\varepsilon_2}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_1^2 - \varepsilon_2^2 + \varepsilon_3^2}} = \sqrt{-\frac{\det\left(H_0 - H_0^t\right)}{4\det H_0}}\,, \tag{5.36}$$

and takes arbitrary positive values. The case of $\theta = 0$ corresponds to the setup of sec. 5.2, when the matrix H_0 is real symmetric. The rapidity is the other parameter, in addition to Δ, on which the level-crossing PDF will depend. This happens because the basis $\boldsymbol{\eta}_\mu$, orthonormal with respect to the Minkowski scalar product, is not canonically normalized with respect to the Euclidean scalar product. From (5.34), (5.35) we find:

$$\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}_\mu, \boldsymbol{\eta}_\nu\right) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cosh 2\theta & \sinh 2\theta \\ 0 & \sinh 2\theta & \cosh 2\theta \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.37}$$

The equation for the light-sheets (5.30) for arbitrary $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ becomes

$$\mathcal{L}_\pm : \ \alpha\boldsymbol{\eta}_3 + \beta\mathbf{n}_\pm, \qquad \alpha,\beta \in (-\infty,+\infty) \tag{5.38}$$

with

$$\mathbf{n}_\pm = \boldsymbol{\eta}_2 \pm \boldsymbol{\eta}_1. \tag{5.39}$$

We can again exploit the rotational symmetry of the probability density, now with respect to rotations around the $\boldsymbol{\eta}_3$-axis. To find the fraction of real level crossings, we need to disect the light-sheets (5.38) by the plane passing through the origin and perpendicular (in the Euclidean metric) to $\boldsymbol{\eta}_3$, in other words to find two vectors $\boldsymbol{\nu}_\pm \in \mathcal{L}_\pm$such that $(\boldsymbol{\nu}_\pm, \boldsymbol{\eta}_3) = 0$. The fraction of real level crossings is given by the angle between these two vectors, as should be clear from fig. 12(b):

$$\cos\pi\kappa = \frac{(\boldsymbol{\nu}_+, \boldsymbol{\nu}_-)}{\sqrt{(\boldsymbol{\nu}_+, \boldsymbol{\nu}_+)\,(\boldsymbol{\nu}_-, \boldsymbol{\nu}_-)}}\,. \tag{5.40}$$

With the help of (5.37) we find:

$$\boldsymbol{\nu}_\pm = \mathbf{n}_\pm - \boldsymbol{\eta}_3 \tanh 2\theta, \tag{5.41}$$

and consequently

$$\cos\pi\kappa = -\tanh^2\theta\,, \tag{5.42}$$

so that in general $\kappa \geqslant 1/2$. Using the explicit expression for the rapidity, the fraction of real level crossings can be rewritten as

$$\cos\pi\kappa = -\frac{\varepsilon_2^2}{\varepsilon_1^2+\varepsilon_3^2} = \frac{\det\left(H_0 - H_0^t\right)}{\det\left(H_0 + H_0^t\right)}\,. \tag{5.43}$$

In fig. 14 the fraction of real level crossings is plotted as a function ε for the matrix of the form

$$H_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \varepsilon \\ -\varepsilon & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.44}$$

In this case (5.43) gives

$$\kappa = 1 - \frac{\arccos\varepsilon^2}{\pi}\,. \tag{5.45}$$

The level-crossing PDF is given by the integral (5.27). It is convenient to expand the integration variable in $\boldsymbol{\eta}_\mu$: $\mathbf{v} = v_\mu\boldsymbol{\eta}_\mu$, and use (5.37) to express the



Figure 14: The fraction of real level crossings for H_0 given by (5.44), given by eq. (5.45). The dots represent numerical data.

scalar products in the probability measure in terms of v_μ and the rapidity θ given by (5.36). The delta-functions eliminate two integrations for the complex level crossings and one integration for the real ones. In the latter case, one more integration can be performed with the help of the change of variables $v_2 = v\cosh\eta$, $v_3 = v\sinh\eta$. Altogether we get:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}^2}}{d\lambda d\bar{\lambda}} &= \frac{\Delta^2}{16\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}\sigma^2|\lambda|^4}\,\mathcal{F}\left(\frac{\Delta\,\mathrm{Re}\,\lambda}{2\sqrt{2}\sigma|\lambda|^2},\frac{\Delta\,\mathrm{Im}\,\lambda}{2\sqrt{2}\sigma|\lambda|^2};\theta\right)\\ &\quad+\frac{\Delta}{2^{\frac{5}{2}}\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}\sigma\lambda^2}\,\mathcal{G}\left(\frac{\Delta}{4\sigma\lambda};\theta\right)\delta\left(\mathrm{Im}\,\lambda\right),\end{aligned}\tag{5.46}$$

where the first term described complex level crossings and the second term - real ones. The functions $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}(z,w;\theta) &= |w|\,\mathrm{e}^{-z^2\cosh 2\theta-w^2}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\frac{dv}{\sqrt{v^2+w^2}}\;\mathrm{e}^{-v^2(\cosh 2\theta+1)+2vz\sinh 2\theta}\\ \mathcal{G}(z;\theta) &= \mathrm{e}^{-2z^2\cosh 2\theta}\Bigg(1+\sinh\theta\arctan\sinh\theta\\ &\quad+\frac{\sqrt{\pi}z}{2}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty}d\eta\,|\sinh\eta|\,\frac{\sinh(\eta+2\theta)}{\cosh^3(\eta+\theta)}\;\mathrm{erf}\left(z\,\frac{\sinh(\eta+2\theta)}{\cosh(\eta+\theta)}\right)\\ &\quad\times\;\mathrm{e}^{z^2\,\frac{\sinh^2(\eta+2\theta)}{\cosh^2(\eta+\theta)}}\Bigg).\end{aligned}\tag{5.47}$$



(a) (b)

Figure 15: The level-crossing probability for general real matrices in the space-like case: (a) Cumulative probability along the imaginary axis (5.48) for $\theta = 0, 1, 2$. (b) Differential probability on the real axis (5.50) for $\theta = 0$ and 1. In all cases $\Delta = 2$ and $\sigma = 1/\sqrt{2}$.

One can check that at $\theta = 0$ eq. (5.46) reduces to (5.19).

Writing $1/\lambda = p + iq$, we find for the cumulative distribution along the imaginary axis:

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left(\infty > q^2 > \frac{8\sigma^2 x}{\Delta^2}\right) = \frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{\cosh 2\theta}} \int_x^\infty d\rho \; \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\cosh 2\theta - 1}{2\cosh 2\theta}\rho} K_0\left(\frac{\cosh 2\theta + 1}{2\cosh 2\theta}\,\rho\right). \tag{5.48}$$

This equation generalizes (5.20). In particular, the total fraction of complex level crossings is

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left(\infty > q^2 > 0\right) = \frac{1}{\pi}\,\arccos(\tanh^2\theta), \tag{5.49}$$

in agreement with (5.42). The probability distribution of level crossings on the real axis is

$$\frac{d\mathcal{P}^{\lambda\in\mathbb{R}}_{\mathbb{R}^2}}{dp} = \frac{\Delta}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}\,\sigma}\,\mathcal{G}\left(\frac{\Delta p}{4\sigma}\,;\theta\right), \tag{5.50}$$

which generalizes (5.21) to $\theta \neq 0$.

These results are illustrated in fig. 15. The probability of complex level crossings is very well approximated by the Poisson distribution in q^2, appropriately normalized. The probability of real level crossings has more structure. While at $\theta = 0$ the distribution is very similar to Gaussian, the proba-

bility density develops a sharp peak at zero at larger θ and at the same time has a longer tail that very slowly relaxes to zero.

5.3.2 Timelike $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$

When $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^2 < 0$ the two conditions in (5.25) are incompatible and all the level crossings occur on the real line, according to (5.26). The matrix H_0 now has two complex eigenvalues separated by $i\tilde{\Delta}$ where

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^2 = -\frac{\tilde{\Delta}^2}{4}\,. \tag{5.51}$$

As before we introduce the unit-norm vector along $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$:

$$\boldsymbol{\eta}_2 = \frac{2}{\tilde{\Delta}}\,\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \tag{5.52}$$

which is now timelike: $\boldsymbol{\eta}_2^2 = -1$, and define the canonically normalized basis (5.33) by a Lorentz transformation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{e}_2 &= \boldsymbol{\eta}_2 \cosh\tilde{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\eta}_3 \sinh\tilde{\theta} \\ \mathbf{e}_s &= \boldsymbol{\eta}_3 \cosh\tilde{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\eta}_2 \sinh\tilde{\theta}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.53}$$

with the rapidity is given by

$$\cosh\tilde{\theta} = -\boldsymbol{\eta}_2 \cdot \mathbf{e}_2 = \frac{2\varepsilon_2}{\tilde{\Delta}} = \frac{\varepsilon_2}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_2^2 - \varepsilon_1^2 - \varepsilon_3^2}} = \sqrt{\frac{\det\left(H_0 - H_0^t\right)}{4\det H_0}}\,. \tag{5.54}$$

The first equation in (5.53) defines $\boldsymbol{\eta}_3$, the second then determines $\mathbf{e}_s$ and we can take $\boldsymbol{\eta}_1 = \mathbf{e}_2 \times \mathbf{e}_s$. Since the Lorentz transformation (5.53) has the same form as (5.34), (5.35), the metric $(\boldsymbol{\eta}_\mu, \boldsymbol{\eta}_\mu)$ is given by (5.37), up to the replacement $\theta \to \tilde{\theta}$.

Expanding the integration variable in (5.27) in the basis of $\boldsymbol{\eta}_\mu$: $\mathbf{v} = v_\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}_\mu$, eliminating v_1 via the delta-function and changing variables as $v_2 = v\cosh\eta$, $v_3 = v\sinh\eta$, we find for the PDF on the real axis of $p = 1/\lambda$:

$$\frac{d\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}^2}}{dp} = \frac{\tilde{\Delta}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}\,\sigma}\,\tilde{\mathcal{G}}\left(\frac{\tilde{\Delta}p}{4\sigma}\,;\tilde{\theta}\right), \tag{5.55}$$



Figure 16: The PDF (5.55) for time-like real matrices, for $\tilde{\theta} = 1$ and 0, $\Delta = 2$ and $\sigma = 1/\sqrt{2}$.

where

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(z;\theta) &= \mathrm{e}^{-2z^2\cosh 2\theta}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\cosh\theta\right. \\ &\quad + \frac{\sqrt{\pi}z}{2}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\eta\,\cosh\eta\,\frac{\cosh(\eta+2\theta)}{\cosh^3(\eta+\theta)}\,\mathrm{erf}\left(z\,\frac{\cosh(\eta+2\theta)}{\cosh(\eta+\theta)}\right) \\ &\quad \left.\times\,\mathrm{e}^{z^2\frac{\cosh^2(\eta+2\theta)}{\cosh^2(\eta+\theta)}}\right). \qquad (5.56)\end{aligned}$$

It is straightforward to check that the PDF given by these formulas is normalized to one. The probability distribution is displayed in fig. 16.

6 Summary

We studied probability distributions of level-crossing points for various ensembles of random matrices. The results depend on the ensemble at hand and on the matrix size, but some universal features do emerge from our analysis. First of all, there are certain similarities between GUE_2 and $GE_2^{\mathbb{R}}$ ensembles, where the distribution factorizes into two independent distributions for the real and imaginary parts of the coupling parameter. There is

also a similarity between GOE_2 and $GE_2^{\mathbb{C}}$, in which case the distribution is rotationally invariant. While for complex matrices invariance under rotations follows from the intrinsic symmetries of the random matrix ensemble, the phase independence of the PDF for real symmetric matrices comes as a surprise. The rotational symmetry for 2×2 matrices follows from the explicit calculation [21]. We checked numerically that rotational invariance persists for matrices of a larger size, but we could not explain this result by any obvious symmetry. We formulate this statement as the following conjecture.

Conjecture. The level-crossing probability density for GOE_N (real symmetric $N \times N$ matrices) $d\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{O}_N}(\lambda, \bar{\lambda})/d\lambda d\bar{\lambda}$ is invariant under $\lambda \to \mathrm{e}^{i\alpha}\lambda$, $\bar{\lambda} \to \mathrm{e}^{-i\alpha}\bar{\lambda}$, and depends only on $|\lambda|$.

It would be interesting to study a more general setup where the initial matrix, which we have currently fixed, is also allowed to fluctuate. We plan to return to this problem in the near future.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank L. Pastur and J. Verbaarschot for dicussions. B.S. wants to thank the Department of Mathematics of UIUC for the hospitality in June-July 2015 when a part of this project was carried out. The research of the K.Z. was supported by the Marie Curie network GATIS of the European Union's FP7 Programme under REA Grant Agreement No 317089, by the ERC advanced grant No 341222, by the Swedish Research Council (VR) grant 2013-4329, and by RFBR grant 15-01-99504. Finally, we are grateful to anonymous referees for their constructive criticism which allowed us to improve the quality of the exposition.

References

[1] P. Alexandersson, A. Gabrielov, On eigenvalues of the Schrödinger operator with a complex-valued polynomial potential. Comput. Methods Funct. Theory 12 (2012), no. 1, 119–144.

[2] G. Anderson, A. Guionnet and O. Zeitouni, *"An introduction to random matrices"*, Cambridge University Press (2010).

[3] C. W. J. Beenakker, J. M. Edge, J. P. Dahlhaus, D. I. Pikulin, Sh. Mi, and M. Wimmer, Wigner-Poisson Statistics of Topological Transitions in a Josephson Junction, Physical Review Letters, Volume 111, (2013) 037001.

[4] C. M. Bender, T. T. Wu, Anharmonic oscillator. Phys. Rev. (2) 184 (1969), 1231–1260.

[5] M. Bhattacharya and C. Raman, Detecting Level Crossings without Looking at the Spectrum, Physical Review Letters, Volume 97(14), (2006) 140405.

[6] A. C. Dixon, Note on the reduction of a ternary quantic to a symmetrical determinant. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 11, (1902), 350–351.

[7] A. Eremenko, A. Gabrielov, Analytic continuation of eigenvalues of a quartic oscillator. Comm. Math. Phys. 287 (2009), no. 2, 431–457.

[8] T. Kato, Perturbation theory for linear operators. Reprint of the 1980 edition. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995. xxii+619 pp.

[9] L. D. Landau and L. M. Lifshitz, *"Quantum mechanics : non-relativistic theory"*, Butterworth-Heinemann (1991).

[10] A. S. Lewis, P. Parrilo, M. V. Ramana, The Lax conjecture is true. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 133 (2005), no. 9, 2495–2499.

[11] M. L. Mehta, *"Random matrices"*, Academic Press (2004).

[12] J. von Neumann and E. Wigner, *"Über das Verhalten von Eigenwerten bei adiabatischen Prozessen"*, Phys. Zeit. 30, 467 (1929).

[13] J. Ongaro, B. Shapiro, A note on planarity stratification of Hurwitz spaces, Canadian Mathematical Bulletin 58(2015), no. 3, 596–609.

[14] D. Plaumann, C. Vinzant, Determinantal representations of hyperbolic plane curves: an elementary approach. J. Symbolic Comput. 57 (2013), 48–60.

[15] B. Shapiro, M. Tater, On spectral asymptotics of quasi-exactly solvable quartic and Yablonskii-Vorob'ev polynomials, arXiv:1412.3026, submitted.

[16] B. Shapiro, M. Tater, On the spectrum of quasi-exactly solvable sextic oscillator, in preparation.

[17] B. Shapiro and K. Zarembo, *"Level Crossing in Random Matrices. II. Random perturbation of a random matrix"*, `to appear`. • B. Shapiro and K. Zarembo, *"Level Crossing in Random Matrices. III. Analogs of Wigner's and Girko's laws"*, `to appear`.

[18] W. H. Steeb, A. J. van Tonder, C. M. Villet, and S. J. M. Brits, Energy level crossings in quantum mechanics, Foundations of Physics Letters, Volume 1, Issue 2, pp 147–162 (1988).

[19] R. Vakil, Twelve points on the projective line, branched covers, and rational elliptic fibrations. Math. Ann. 320 (2001), no. 1, 33–54.

[20] E. A. Yuzbashyan, B. L. Altshuler, and B. S. Shastry, The origin of degeneracies and crossings in the 1d Hubbard model Journal of Physics A, Volume 35, (2002) 7525.

[21] M. Zirnbauer, J. Verbaarschot and H. Weidenmüller, *"Destruction of order in nuclear spectra by a residual GOE interaction"*, Nucl. Phys. A411, 161 (1983).